# KFUPM-DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS-MATH 453-EXAM I-TERM 232

## MATH 453: EXAM II, TERM (232), MARCH 12, 2024

# EXAM I- MATH 453 Duration: 120 mn

Student Name:

ID:

**Exercise 1.** Let X be a set and let  $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2 : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be operators. Denote by  $\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  the complement operator. Suppose that  $\mathbf{L}_1 = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{C}$ ; that is,  $\mathbf{L}_1(A) = X \setminus \mathbf{L}_2(X \setminus A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) For all  $A, B \subseteq X$ , the following properties hold:
  - (a)  $\mathbf{L}_1(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,
  - (b)  $A \subseteq \mathbf{L}_1(A)$ ,

(c)  $L_1(L_1(A)) = L_1(A)$ ,

- (d)  $\mathbf{L}_1(A \cup B) = \mathbf{L}_1(A) \cup \mathbf{L}_1(B).$
- (2) For all  $A, B \subseteq X$ , the following properties hold:
  - (a)  $L_2(X) = X$ ,
  - (b)  $\mathbf{L}_2(A) \subseteq A$ ,
  - (c)  $L_2(L_2(A)) = L_2(A)$ ,
  - (d)  $L_2(A \cap B) = L_2(A) \cap L_2(B)$ .

For a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , with Cl and Int denoting the closure and interior operators respectively, show that  $Cl = C \circ Int \circ C$ .

*Solution.* As C is the complement operator, we have that

$$\mathbf{L}_1 = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{C} \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{L}_2 = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C} \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 = \mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{C}.$$

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ :

(1)  $\mathbf{L}_2(X) = (\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C})(X) = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1(\emptyset) = \mathbf{C}(\emptyset) = X.$ 

- (2) For any  $A \subseteq X$ , since  $\mathbf{C}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{C}(A)) = (\mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C})(A) = (\mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{C})(A)$ , it follows by applying  $\mathbf{C}$  again (noting that  $\mathbf{C}$  is decreasing) that  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbf{C}(A))) \subseteq A$ , implying  $\mathbf{L}_2(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (3) By operator composition,  $\mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{L}_2 = (\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C}) \circ (\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C})$ . Given that  $\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Id}$ , it simplifies to  $\mathbf{L}_2 \circ \mathbf{L}_2 = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{L}_2$ , using  $\mathbf{L}_1 \circ \mathbf{L}_1 = \mathbf{L}_1$ .
- (4) For the property involving intersections, starting with the complement of  $\mathbf{L}_2(A \cap B)$  gives  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}_2(A \cap B)) = \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{C}(A \cap B)) = \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{C}(A) \cup \mathbf{C}(B)) = \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{C}(A)) \cup \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{C}(B)) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}_2(A)) \cup \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}_2(B)) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}_2(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_2(B))$ . Applying **C** to both sides yields  $\mathbf{L}_2(A \cap B) = \mathbf{L}_2(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_2(B)$ .

The implication  $(2) \implies (1)$  can be shown by analogous arguments.

For a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , with Cl and Int denoting the closure and interior operators respectively, consider any subset  $A \subseteq X$ . Since  $\operatorname{Int}(X - A) \subseteq X - A$ , it follows that  $A \subseteq X - (\operatorname{Int}(X - A))$ . Taking closures, we have  $\overline{A} \subseteq X - (\operatorname{Int}(X - A))$ . Conversely, since  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ , we deduce that  $X - \overline{A} \subseteq X - A$  and  $X - \overline{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(X - A)$ . Therefore,  $X - \operatorname{Int}(X - A) \subseteq \overline{A}$ . These inclusions prove that  $\overline{A} = X - (\operatorname{Int}(X - A))$ , which shows that  $\operatorname{Cl} = \mathbb{C} \circ \operatorname{Int} \circ \mathbb{C}$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let *d* be the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $d' = \min(1, d)$ . Show that *d* and *d'* are not Lipschitz equivalent.

**Solution**. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that *d* and *d'* are Lipschitz equivalent. This means there exist two positive real constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\alpha d'(x,y) \le d(x,y) \le \beta d'(x,y).$$

Specifically, since  $d' = \min(1, d)$ , this inequality implies that  $d(x, y) \le \beta$ , suggesting that d is bounded by  $\beta$ .

However, by the definition of d, for any  $\beta > 0$ , we can find  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $d(x, y) > \beta$ . For instance, if we choose  $x = \beta$  and  $y = 3\beta$ , then  $d(x, y) = |x - y| = 2\beta > \beta$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $d(x, y) \le \beta d'(x, y)$  for all x, y.

Therefore, d and d' cannot be Lipschitz equivalent.

**Exercise 3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space, show that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have:

$$|d(x,z) - d(x,y)| \le d(y,z)$$

Solution.

• By the triangle inequality, we have:

$$d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z),$$

so  $d(x, z) - d(x, y) \le d(y, z)$ .

• Again, using the triangle inequality, we get:

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y),$$

which rearranges to  $d(x, y) - d(x, z) \le d(y, z)$ . We conclude that

$$-d(y,z) \le d(x,z) - d(x,y) \le d(y,z).$$

Therefore,

$$|d(x,z) - d(x,y)| \le d(y,z)$$

**Exercise 4.** Let  $d_1, d_2$  be two distances on *X*.

(1) Show that  $d = d_1 + d_2$  and  $d' = \max(d_1, d_2)$  are distances on X.

(2) Show that d and d' are Lipschitz equivalent.

#### Solution.

- (1) To verify that *d* and *d'* are distances on *X*, we must check that they satisfy the three distance axioms: non-negativity, identity of separation, and the triangle inequality.
  - For d, since both  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are non-negative for all  $x, y \in X$ , their sum  $d_1(x, y) + d_2(x, y)$  is also non-negative. If x = y, then  $d_1(x, y) = d_2(x, y) = 0$ , hence d(x, y) = 0. Conversely, if d(x, y) = 0, since  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are both distances, it follows that x = y. The triangle inequality follows from the triangle inequalities for  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ .

- For *d*', non-negativity and identity of separation follow similarly. The triangle inequality for *d*' uses the fact that max of two values is less than or equal to the sum of the same two values.
- (2) To show that *d* and *d'* are Lipschitz equivalent, observe that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d' \le d \le 2d'$$

This is because  $d' = \max(d_1, d_2) \le d_1 + d_2 = d$  and  $d_1 + d_2 \le 2\max(d_1, d_2) = 2d'$ . Therefore, for any x, y, it follows that  $\frac{1}{2}d(x, y) \le d'(x, y) \le d(x, y)$ , establishing the Lipschitz equivalence with Lipschitz constants  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 2$ .

### **Exercise 5.** Let us define the set

 $\mathfrak{LR} := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \forall x \in V, \exists a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } x \in (-\infty, a) \subseteq V \}.$ 

- (1) Prove that  $\mathfrak{LR}$  forms a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , referred to as the left ray topology.
- (2) Demonstrate that

$$\mathfrak{LR} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

(3) Establish that  $\mathfrak{LR}$  is strictly coarser than the standard topology  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Solution.

**1.** To show LR forms a topology, we verify its properties:

- The empty set Ø and ℝ are in £ℜ, as the conditions are vacuously true for Ø and trivially satisfied for ℝ.
- The intersection of two elements in  $\mathfrak{LR}$  remains in  $\mathfrak{LR}$ . Given  $U, V \in \mathfrak{LR}$  and any  $x \in U \cap V$ , we find  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in (-\infty, a) \subseteq U$  and  $x \in (-\infty, b) \subseteq V$ . Letting  $c = \min(a, b)$ , it follows  $x \in (-\infty, c) \subseteq U \cap V$ .
- Arbitrary unions of elements in  $\mathfrak{LR}$  also belong to  $\mathfrak{LR}$ . For any  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathfrak{LR}$  and  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , there exists a  $j \in I$  and an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in (-\infty, a) \subseteq U_j$ , implying  $x \in (-\infty, a) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ .
- **2.** We show  $\mathfrak{LR}$  is exactly  $\{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ :
  - Clearly, Ø and ℝ are in £ℜ, and for any a ∈ ℝ, (-∞, a) meets the definition of £ℜ.
  - Conversely, any V ∈ LR that is not Ø or R must be of the form (-∞, a) for some a ∈ R, by the definition of LR.
- **3.**  $\mathfrak{LR}$  is strictly coarser than the standard topology  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :
  - Every element of  $\mathfrak{LR}$  is open in  $\mathfrak{U}$ , but there exist sets open in  $\mathfrak{U}$ , like (0,1), that cannot be a union of sets from  $\mathfrak{LR}$ .