

King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals

Department of Mathematics

Math 569 Midterm Exam

The First Semester of 2023-2024 (231)

Time Allowed: 130mn

---

Name:

ID number:

---

Textbooks are not authorized in this exam

---

Problem #	Marks	Maximum Marks
1		20
2		20
3		20
4		20
5		20
Total		100

**Problem 1:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^3$ .

1.)a.)(5pts) Explain how to obtain eigenfunctions  $\{e_j(x)\}$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}$  of the operator  $A = -\Delta$  subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions.

b)(5pts) Is it possible that the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the operators  $A$  is equal to zero? Justify your answer.

2.)(10pts) Complete the dots in the definitions of the domain of the operator  $A$  and  $A^{\frac{3}{2}}$

$$D(A) = \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \dots\dots\dots \right\}$$

$$D(A^{\frac{3}{2}}) = \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \dots\dots\dots \right\}$$

**Solution:**

1.) a.) Consider the operator

$$A = -\Delta : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

The operator  $A$  is self-adjoint, strictly positive with compact inverse  $A^{-1}$ . A theory spectral theorem shows that there exist a complete orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_j$  of  $L^2(\Omega)$  made of eigenfunctions of  $A$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots < \dots + \infty.$$

b.) The first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  is strictly positive. Otherwise, if  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , it means that  $Ae_1 = \lambda_1 e_1 = 0$ , that is,  $Ae_1 = 0$ , or  $e_1 = A^{-1}0 = 0$ . But, we know that  $e_1 = 0$  cannot be an eigenvector.

2.) We have  $\|A\varphi\| = (A\varphi, A\varphi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{ \varphi \in L^2, A\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) \} = \{ \varphi \in L^2, \|A\varphi\| < \infty \} \\ &= \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j A e_j(x), \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j A e_j(x) \right) < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j^2 \lambda_j^2 < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We also have  $\|A^{\frac{3}{2}}\varphi\| = (A^{\frac{3}{2}}\varphi, A^{\frac{3}{2}}\varphi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^{\frac{3}{2}}) &= \{ \varphi \in L^2, A^{\frac{3}{2}}\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) \} = \{ \varphi \in L^2, \|A^{\frac{3}{2}}\varphi\| < \infty \} \\ &= \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j A^{\frac{3}{2}} e_j(x), \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j A^{\frac{3}{2}} e_j(x) \right) < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j^2 \lambda_j^3 < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . Consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{\varphi \in H^2(\Omega), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \\ W &= \{\varphi \in H^2(\Omega), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)dx = 0\} \\ H &= \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)dx = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

1.) a.)(10pts) Explain how to obtain a basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  by considering the eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator  $N = -\Delta$  subject to Neumann boundary conditions.

b.)(5pts) Consider the operator

$$T = 2I + N^{\frac{1}{2}} + N : V \rightarrow L^2(\Omega).$$

Find the expression of  $\zeta_j$  such that  $Tw_j = \zeta_j w_j$ , where  $\{w_j\}$  are the eigenfunctions of the operator  $N$ .

2.)(5pts) Consider the linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -\Delta : V \rightarrow H, \\ C &= (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H, \\ D &= I - \Delta : V \rightarrow L^2, \\ E &= (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} : (H^1(\Omega))' \rightarrow H^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Which ones of the above operators are isomorphism?

**Solution:**

Consider the operator

$$N = -\Delta : W \rightarrow H$$

The operator  $A$  is self-adjoint, strictly positive with compact inverse  $N^{-1}$ . A theory spectral theorem shows that there exist a complete orthonormal basis  $\{w_j\}_j$  of  $H$  made of eigenfunctions of  $N$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\{\mu_j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_k < \dots < \dots + \infty.$$

Now, we notice that if  $w_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$  then  $w_0 \in V$  and we have  $Nw_0(x) = 0w_0(x)$ , that is  $w_0$  is an eigenvalue of  $N$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\mu_0 = 0$ . Thus the family  $\{w_j\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

2.) We have  $N^{\frac{1}{2}}w_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j}w_j$  and  $Nw_j = \lambda_jw_j$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} Tw_j &= (2I + N^{\frac{1}{2}} + N)w_j = 2w_j + N^{\frac{1}{2}}w_j + Nw_j \\ &= (2 + \sqrt{\lambda_j} + \lambda_j)w_j \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\zeta_j = 2 + \sqrt{\lambda_j} + \lambda_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

2.)Only the operator  $D$  is an isomorphism.

**Problem 3:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open **unit disk** of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ .  
 Let consider the function  $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$b(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2 + y^2}.$$

We now consider the BV problem

$$\begin{cases} 2\phi - \Delta\phi - b(x, y)\phi = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Use Lax-Milgram theorem to show that this BVP has a unique **weak solution**.

**Solution:**

$$a(\phi, q) = 2 \int_{\Omega} \phi q dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla q dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x, y)\phi q dx = \int_{\Omega} f q dx, \quad \forall q \in H^1(\Omega).$$

We have  $0 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 1 \implies 1 \leq 1 + x^2 + y^2 \leq 2 \implies \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} \leq 1$

$$\implies -1 \leq -b(x, y) \leq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

The bilinear form  $a : H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and coercive.  
 Indeed, we have  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ , that is,  $\|\varphi\| \leq c\|\varphi\|_{H^1}$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\varphi, q)| &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |\varphi||q| dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi||\nabla q| dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x, y)|\varphi||q| dx \\ &\leq 2\|\varphi\|\|q\| + \|\nabla\varphi\|\|\nabla q\| + \|\varphi\|\|q\| \\ &\leq c_0\|\varphi\|_{H^1}\|q\|_{H^1}, \quad \forall \varphi, q \in H^1. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, u) &= \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x, y)u^2 dx \\ &\geq 2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We finally get that

$$a(u, u) \geq \|u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

As  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , it implies that  $f \in (H^1(\Omega))'$ , we apply the Lax Milgram theorem to prove that there exists a unique function  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  that is the weak solution of the BVP.

**Problem 4:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $C^2$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and a value  $\varepsilon \in [1, 5]$ , we consider the BV problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + \phi^{2\varepsilon-1} = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

- 1.) (3pts) Write a weak formulation for the problem.
- 2.) (7pts) Consider an approximate problem and show the existence of an approximate solution  $\phi_m(x)$  of the BVP. **Be brief and concise, no need to give too much details.**
- 3.) (10pts) Obtain all useful estimates that are bounded by a constant independent of  $m$  and that are needed for the passage to the limit in approximate problem. **(Do not prove the passage to the limit).**

**Solution:**

$$1.) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi^{2\varepsilon-1} q dx = \int_{\Omega} f q dx, \quad q \in V = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2\varepsilon}(\Omega), \quad (1)$$

In space dimension one and two, we can take  $V = H_0^1$ . In space dimension three, we can take  $V = H_0^1$ , if  $1 \leq 2\varepsilon \leq 6$ .

2.) We know that there exist a complete orthonormal family of eigenfunctions  $\{e_j\}$  in  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1$ , and even in  $H^s$  such that  $H^s \subset L^{2\varepsilon}$  if  $\Omega \in C^s$ , and corresponding eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}$  such that  $-\Delta e_j(x) = \lambda_j e_j(x)$ , where

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots + \infty.$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $E_m = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . We now look for  $\phi_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j e_j(x)$  that is solution of the following approximate problem

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi_m \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi_m^{2\varepsilon-1} q dx = \int_{\Omega} f q dx, \quad q \in E_m. \quad (2)$$

Taking  $q = e_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we find that is equivalent to the vector equation

$$MY + F(Y) = 0,$$

where  $Y = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$  and  $F(Y)$  a nonlinear function. This system has a unique solution  $Y$  by the Brauer fixed point theorem. Hence, the existence of the approximate solution  $\phi_m \in E_m$ .

3.) We take  $q = \phi_m$  in (1) and we find

$$\|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \phi_m^{2\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi_m dx \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} f \phi_m dx \right| \leq \|f\|_{-1} \|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{-1}^2,$$

hence

$$\|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1}^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\phi_m|^{2\varepsilon} dx \leq c_0^2 \|f\|^2 = C \implies \|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1} \leq C, \quad \|\phi_m\|_{L^{2\varepsilon}}^{2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2} C.$$

where the constant  $C$  does is independent of  $m$  and where we used  $\|f\|_{-1} \leq c_0 \|f\|$ .

We also have

$$\|\phi_m^{2\varepsilon-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon-1}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon-1}} = \int_{\Omega} |\phi_m^{2\varepsilon-1}|^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon-1}} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\phi_m|^{2\varepsilon} dx = \|\phi_m\|_{L^{2\varepsilon}}^{2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2} C.$$

**Problem 5:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we consider the BV problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + \phi^5 = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

- 1.)(3pts) Write the weak variational formulation of the approximate problem.
- 2.)(12pts) Assume the approximate solutions  $\phi_m(x)$  of the approximate BVP do exist, and satisfy the estimates

$$\|\nabla\phi_m\|^2 \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |\phi_m(x)|^6 dx < C,$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $m$ .

Explain how we can deduce a solution of the problem by passing to the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the approximate problem. **Be brief, clear and precise.**

- 3.)(5pts) Prove that the solution of the BVP is unique.

**Solution:**

- 1.) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi_m \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi_m^5 q dx = \int_{\Omega} f q dx, \quad q \in E_m = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}. \quad (3)$$

- 2.) • If  $\|\phi_m\|_{H_0^1} \leq C$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_m\}_m$  such that  $\phi_m \rightharpoonup \phi$  in  $H_0^1$ , that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi_m \cdot \nabla q dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla q dx \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

- If  $\|\phi_m\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq C$ , then  $\|\phi_m^5\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}^{\frac{6}{5}} = \int_{\Omega} |\phi_m^5|^{\frac{6}{5}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi_m^6 dx \leq C$  and there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_m\}_m$  such that  $\phi_m^5 \rightharpoonup \chi$  in  $L^{\frac{6}{5}}$ . Now, as the function  $g(x) = x^5$  is continuous and  $\phi_m \rightarrow \phi$  in  $L^2$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$ , it follows that  $\phi_m^5 \rightarrow \phi^5$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . We apply a Lemma from the lecture notes that shows that  $\phi_m^5 \rightharpoonup \phi^5$ . that is,  $\chi = \phi^5$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi_m^5 q dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \phi^5 q dx \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

With this, we can pass to the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the approximate problem (3) to find

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi^5 q dx = \int_{\Omega} f q dx, \quad q \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

- 3.) Setting  $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ , where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are two solutions of the BV problem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Omega} (\phi_1^5 - \phi_2^5) q dx = 0, \quad q \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (4)$$

in particular, for  $q = \phi$ , we have

$$\|\phi\|_{H_0^1} + \int_{\Omega} (\phi_1^5 - \phi_2^5) \phi dx = 0. \quad (5)$$

But, the mean value theorem says  $\phi_1^5 - \phi_2^5 = (\phi_1 - \phi_2) \int_0^1 4(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2)^4 ds$ . Thus,  $\int_{\Omega} (\phi_1^5 - \phi_2^5) \phi dx = 4 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 (s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2)^4 \phi^2 ds dx \geq 0$ , and we deduce that  $\|\phi\|_{H_0^1} = 0$  and  $\phi = 0$ , hence  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$  and the solution of the BVP is unique.