

---

# PHD COMPREHENSIVE EXAM

---

Duration: 180 minutes

ID:	
NAME:	

- Justify your answers thoroughly. For any theorem that you wish to cite, you should give its name and its statement.

Problem	Score
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
7	
6	
8	
Total	/100

## Problem 1

Show that a set  $E$  is measurable if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a closed set  $F$  and open set  $\mathcal{O}$  for which  $F \subseteq E \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  and  $m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim F) \leq \varepsilon$ .

---

**Solution:** Suppose  $E$  is a measurable set and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists an open set  $\mathcal{O}$  containing  $E$  and a closed set  $F$  contained in  $E$  for which  $m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim E) < \varepsilon/2$  and  $m^*(E \sim F) < \varepsilon/2$ . By the measurability of  $E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim F) &= m^*(\mathcal{O} \cap F^c \cap E) + m^*(\mathcal{O} \cap F^c \cap E^c) \\ &= m^*(E \sim F) + m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim E) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and suppose that there is a closed set  $F$  and an open set  $\mathcal{O}$  for which  $F \subseteq E \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  and  $m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim F) \leq \varepsilon$ . Then

$$m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim E) \leq m^*(\mathcal{O} \sim F) < \varepsilon.$$

Since such sets can be found for all  $\varepsilon$ ,  $E$  is measurable.

## Problem 2

Assume that  $E$  is a set of finite measure. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $E$  that converges pointwise on  $E$  a.e. to a real-valued function  $f$  that is finite a.e. Show that the conclusion of Egoroff's Theorem still holds.

---

**Solution:** Assume  $E$  has finite measure and suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions on  $E$  that converges pointwise a.e. to a function  $f$  that is finite a.e. Define

$$E_0 = \left\{ x \in E : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x) \right\}$$

and  $E_1 = \{x \in E : f(x) \text{ is finite}\}$ . By assumption,  $m(E \sim E_0) = m(E \sim E_1) = 0$ .

Let  $\tilde{E} = E_0 \cap E_1$  and observe that

$$0 \leq m(E \sim \tilde{E}) \leq m(E \sim E_1) + m(E \sim E_0) = 0.$$

Hence  $m(E \sim \tilde{E}) = 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Egoroff's Theorem, there is a closed set  $F$  contained in  $\tilde{E}$  for which

$$\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on } F \text{ and } m(\tilde{E} \sim F) < \varepsilon.$$

But then  $F$  is also a closed set contained in  $E$  that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} m(E \sim F) &\leq m(\tilde{E} \sim F) + m(E \sim \tilde{E} \sim F) \\ &< \varepsilon + m(E \sim \tilde{E}) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 3

Consider two Lebesgue integrable functions  $f$  and  $g$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  and two sequences of Lebesgue integrable functions  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that

- (i)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  pointwise a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $\{g_n\}$  converges to  $g$  pointwise a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- (iii)  $|f_n| \leq g_n$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$  and
- (iv)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n$  converges to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g$ .

Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f$$

**Solution:** We need to show that

$$\lim \left( \int f_n - \int f \right) = 0.$$

In other words, since

$$\left| \int f_n - \int f \right| = \left| \int (f_n - f) \right| \leq \int |f_n - f|,$$

we need to show

$$\lim \int |f_n - f| = 0$$

First we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int g + \int |f| &= \int (g + |f|) \\ &= \int \lim (g_n + |f|) \\ &= \int \lim (g_n + |f| - |f_n - f|) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\lim |f_n - f| = 0$  and  $|f_n - f| \leq |f_n| + |f| \leq g_n + |f|$ . Hence  $g_n + |f| - |f_n - f| \geq 0$ . So we may proceed as

$$\begin{aligned} \int g + \int |f| &= \int \lim (g_n + |f| - |f_n - f|) \\ &\leq \liminf \int (g_n + |f| - |f_n - f|) \quad (\text{by Fatou's Lemma}) \\ &\leq \int g + \int |f| - \limsup \int |f_n - f|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\limsup \int |f_n - f| = 0$  and we have

$$\lim \int |f_n - f| = \limsup \int |f_n - f| = 0$$

## Problem 4

Consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n.$$

(a) For all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x > -n$ , show that  $f_n$  is monotone increasing and that

$$f_n(x) \leq e^x.$$

(b) Evaluate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n f_n(x) e^{-3x} dx.$$

**Solution:** (a) Note that

$$\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \leq e^x \Leftrightarrow n \ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \leq x \Leftrightarrow \ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) = \ln \left(\frac{x+n}{n}\right) \leq \frac{x}{n} = \frac{x+n}{n} - 1$$

The statement is true since  $\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{x+n}{n}\right) > 0$  and  $\ln t \leq t - 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . To show that  $\{f_n\}$  is monotone increasing, we treat  $n$  as a continuous variable and use L'Hospital rule

$$\frac{df_n}{dn} = f_n \left[ \ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{x}{x+n} \right] \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \left[ \ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{x}{x+n} \right] \geq 0 \text{ since } f_n > 0.$$

So we need

$$\ln \left(\frac{x+n}{n}\right) \geq \frac{x}{x+n} \Leftrightarrow \ln \left(\frac{n}{x+n}\right) \leq -\frac{x}{x+n} = \frac{n}{x+n} - 1$$

which is true since  $\frac{n}{x+n} > 0$  and  $\ln t \leq t - 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .

(b) First we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n f_n(x) e^{-3x} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) e^{-3x} \chi_{[0,n]} dx.$$

By part (a), the sequence  $f_n(x) e^{-3x} \chi_{[0,n]}$  is nonnegative monotone increasing sequence and

$$f_n(x) e^{-3x} \chi_{[0,n]} \rightarrow e^x e^{-3x} = e^{-2x} \text{ pointwise.}$$

So by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n f_n(x) e^{-3x} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) e^{-3x} \chi_{[0,n]} dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-2x} dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

## Problem 5

Let the function  $f$  be absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Show that  $f$  is Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$  if and only if there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $f'(x) \leq M$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ .

---

**Solution:** Suppose  $f$  is Lipschitz. Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t} \right| \leq M$$

for any  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $t \neq 0$  that satisfy  $x+t \in (a, b)$ . Since  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$  then  $f$  is differentiable a.e. on  $(a, b)$ . Hence

$$|f'(x)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t} \right| \leq M \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Conversely, suppose there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|f'| \leq M$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ . If  $x, x'$  are in  $[a, b]$  and  $x \leq x'$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[x, x']$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x')| &= \left| \int_x^{x'} f' \right| \\ &\leq \int_x^{x'} |f'| \\ &\leq M(x' - x), \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $f$  is Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$ .

## Problem 6

Let  $E$  be a measurable set and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(E)$ .

- (a) If the Lebesgue measure,  $m$ , of  $E$  is finite that is  $m(E) < \infty$ , show that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^s(E)$  for some  $1 \leq s < p$ .
- (b) If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e on  $E$  and there exists a real number  $M$  such that  $|f_n| \leq M$  a.e. for all  $n$ , show that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^r(E)$  for some  $r$  such that  $1 \leq p < r < \infty$ .

### Solution:

- (a) Since  $s < p$ , let  $p_1 = \frac{p}{s}$  and  $q_1$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1$ , then using Holder Inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f_n - f|^s dm &\leq \| |f_n - f|^s \|_{p_1} \| 1 \|_{q_1} \\ &= \left( \int_E (|f_n - f|^s)^{p_1} dm \right)^{1/p_1} \left( \int_E dm \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &= \left( \int_E |f_n - f|^p dm \right)^{s/p} (m(E))^{1/q_1} \\ &= (\|f_n - f\|_p)^s (m(E))^{1/q_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m(E) < \infty$  and  $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^s(E)$ .

- (b) Let  $r > p$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. on  $E$  and  $|f_n| \leq M$  then  $|f| \leq M$ . Therefore  $|f_n - f| \leq 2M$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\|f_n - f\|_r)^r &= \int_E |f_n - f|^r dm \\ &= \int_E |f_n - f|^{r-p} |f_n - f|^p dm \\ &\leq \int_E (2M)^{r-p} |f_n - f|^p dm \\ &= (2M)^{r-p} \int_E |f_n - f|^p dm \\ &= (2M)^{r-p} (\|f_n - f\|_p)^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^r(E)$

## Problem 7

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\{h_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative integrable functions on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\{h_n(x)\} \rightarrow 0$  for almost all  $x \in X$ . Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu = 0$$

if and only if  $\{h_n\}$  is uniformly integrable and tight over  $X$ .

**Solution:** If  $\{h_n\}$  is uniformly integrable and tight over  $X$ , then by the Vitaly Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu = 0$ . There exists a natural number  $N$  such that

$$\int_X h_n d\mu < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . We know that the finite collection of functions  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^N$  is tight over  $X$ . We therefore can find a set of finite measure  $X_0 \in X$  such that

$$\int_{X \setminus X_0} |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

for all  $n < N$ . Since

$$\int_{X \setminus X_0} |h_n| d\mu \leq \int_X |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . We conclude that  $\{h_n\}$  is tight over  $X$ .

Note that the finite collection of functions  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^N$  is uniformly integrable.

Now Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $n \geq N$ , if  $A \subseteq X$  is measurable and  $m(A) < \delta$  then

$$\int_A |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Hence we have that

if  $A \subseteq X$  is measurable and  $m(A) < \delta$ , then  $\int_A |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . Therefore  $\{h_n\}$  is uniformly integrable.

## Problem 8

Let  $X = Y$  be the interval  $[0, 1]$  with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$  the class of Borel sets. Let  $\mu = \nu$  be the Lebesgue measure. Consider the function  $f$  on  $X \times Y$  defined as

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}, \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

(a) Show that

$$\int_X \int_Y f d\mu dv \neq \int_Y \int_X f dv d\mu.$$

(b) Does part (a) contradict Fubini's theorem? why?

### Solution:

(a) First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \int_Y f d\mu dv &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx dy = \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx - \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 + y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 -x \frac{-2x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx - \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^2 + y^2} \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 -x \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \right) - \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^2 + y^2} \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{-x}{x^2 + y^2} \Big|_{x=0}^1 + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^2 + y^2} - \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^2 + y^2} \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{-1}{1 + y^2} dy = -\tan^{-1} y \Big|_0^1 = -\frac{\pi}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_Y \int_X f d\mu dv = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dy dx = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

(b) Part (a) does not contradict Fubini's theorem, since  $f$  is not integrable over  $X \times Y$  with respect to the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times Y} |f| d(\mu \times \nu) &= \int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} \left| \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \right| d(\mu \times \nu) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \right| dx dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^y \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx + \int_y^1 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \Big|_0^y - \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \Big|_y^1 \right] dy \quad (\text{from part (a) solution}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2y} - \frac{1}{1 + y^2} + \frac{1}{2y} \right] dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{1 + y^2} \right] dy \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_t^1 \frac{dy}{y} - \tan^{-1}(y) \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} -\ln t - \frac{\pi}{4} = \infty \end{aligned}$$







