PHD COMPREHENSIVE EXAM

Duration: 180 minutes

ID:	
NAME:	

•	Justify your answers thoroughly. For any
	theorem that you wish to cite, you should
	give its name and its statement.

Problem	Score
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
Total	/100

Let *E* have finite outer measure. Show that *E* is measurable if and only if for each open, bounded interval (a, b), $b - a = m^*((a, b) \cap E) + m^*((a, b) \sim E)$.

Solution: Let *E* be measurable. We have

$$b - a = m^*((a,b)) = m^*((a,b) \cap E) + m^*((a,b) \cap E^C)$$

= m^*((a,b) \cap E) + m^*((a,b) \sim E)

Conversely, suppose the equality holds. Let *A* be any set with $m^*(A) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a countable collection of open intervals $\{(a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ that covers } A \text{ and } b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(a_k, b_k) < m^*(A) + \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$m^{*}(A) > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_{k} - a_{k}) - \varepsilon$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{*}((a_{k}, b_{k}) \cap E) + m^{*}((a, b) \sim E^{C}) - \varepsilon$$

$$\geq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{*} [m^{*}(a_{k}, b_{k}) \cap E] + \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{*} [m^{*}(a_{k}, b_{k}) \sim E] - \varepsilon$$

$$\geq m^{*}(A \cap E) + m^{*}(A \sim E^{C}) - \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$m^*(A) \ge m^*(A \cap E) + m^*\left(A \sim E^{\mathsf{C}}\right).$$

Hence *A* is measurable.

- (a) For the function f and the set F in the statement of Lusin's Theorem, show that the restriction of f to F is a continuous function.
- (b) Prove the extension of Lusin's Theorem to the case that *f* is not necessarily real-valued, but may be finite a.e.

Solution:

1. Fix $x \in F$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since *g* is continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

if
$$|x' - x| < \delta$$
, then $|g(x') - g(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Since f = g on *F*, we have

if
$$x \in F$$
 and $|x' - x| < \delta$, then $|f(x') - f(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Thus, *f* is continuous on *F*.

2. Suppose *f* is an extended real-valued function on *E* that is finite a.e. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let

$$E_0 = \{x \in E : \text{f is finite}\}.$$

Lusin's theorem implies that there exists a continuous function g on \mathbb{R} and a closed set F contained in E_0 for which

$$f = g$$
 on F and $m(E_0 \sim F) < \varepsilon$.

But since

$$E = (E \sim E_0) \cup E_0$$
 and $m(E \sim E_0) = 0$,

we also have

$$m(E \sim F) \le m(E \sim E_0 \sim F) + m(E_0 \sim F) = m(E_0 \sim F) < \varepsilon$$

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of integrable functions on *E* for which $f_n \to f$ a.e. on *E* and *f* is integrable over *E*. Show that

$$\int_E |f-f_n| \to 0$$

if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_E |f_n| = \int_E |f|$. (Hint: Use the General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.)

Solution: Suppose $\int_E |f - f_n| \to 0$. Since

$$||f_n| - |f|| \le |f_n - f|$$

on *E* for all *n* and $|f_n| - |f| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on *E*, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E(|f_n|-|f|)=0$$

by the General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. Since f is integrable, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E |f_n| = \int_E |f|.$$

Conversely, suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E |f_n| = \int_E |f|.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E(|f_n|+|f|)=2\int_E|f|<\infty.$$

Since

$$|f_n - f| \le |f_n| + |f|$$

on *E* for all *n* and $|f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on *E*, we have

$$\int_E |f-f_n| \to 0.$$

by the General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n.$$

(a) For all $n \ge 1$ and x > -n, show that f_n is monotone increasing and that

$$f_n(x) \leq e^x$$
.

(b) Evaluate

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^n f_n(x)e^{-5x}dx.$$

Solution: (a) Note that

$$\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n \le e^x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad n\ln\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right) \le x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ln\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{x+n}{n}\right) \le \frac{x}{n} = \frac{x+n}{n} - 1$$

The statement is true since $(1 + \frac{x}{n}) = (\frac{x+n}{n}) > 0$ and $\ln t \le t - 1$ for all t > 0. To show that $\{f_n\}$ is monotone increasing, we treat n as a continuous variable and use L'Hospital rule

$$\frac{df_n}{dn} = f_n \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n} \right) - \frac{x}{x+n} \right] \ge 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{n} \right) - \frac{x}{x+n} \right] \ge 0 \quad \text{since } f_n > 0.$$

So we need

$$\ln\left(\frac{x+n}{n}\right) \ge \frac{x}{x+n} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ln\left(\frac{n}{x+n}\right) \le -\frac{x+n}{x} = \frac{n}{x+n} - 1$$

which is true since $\frac{n}{x+n} > 0$ and $\ln t \le t - 1$ for all t > 0.

(b) First we write

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^n f_n(x)e^{-5x}dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^\infty f_n(x)e^{-5x}\chi_{[0,n]}dx.$$

By part (a), the sequence $f_n(x)e^{-5x}\chi_{[0,n]}$ is nonnegative monotone increasing sequence and

$$f_n(x)e^{-5x}\chi_{[0,n]} \to e^x e^{-5x} = e^{-4x}$$
 pointwise.

So by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^n f_n(x) e^{-5x} dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) e^{-5x} \chi_{[0,n]} dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-4x} dx = \frac{1}{4}.$$

If *f* is continuous on [a, b] and *f*' exists and is bounded on (a, b), then show that *f* is absolutely continuous on [a, b].

Solution: Since f' exists and bounded on (a, b), there exists M > 0 such that f'(x) < M for all $x \in (a, b)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and coinsider

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(d_i) - f(c_i)|$$

where $\{[c_i, d_i] : 1 \le i \le n\}$ is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals in [a, b] such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |d_i - c_i| < \varepsilon/M.$$

We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(d_i) - f(c_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|f(d_i) - f(c_i)|}{|d_i - c_i|} |d_i - c_i|$$

The Mean Value Theorem tells us that for every *i* there exists $x_i \in [c_i, d_i]$ such that

$$\frac{|f(d_i) - f(c_i)|}{|d_i - c_i|} = f'(x_i) < M.$$

Thus, we can write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(d_i) - f(c_i)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|f(d_i) - f(c_i)|}{|d_i - c_i|} |d_i - c_i| \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{n} M |d_i - c_i| \\ &= M \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d_i - c_i| \\ &< M \frac{\varepsilon}{M} = \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Hence, *f* is absolutely continuous on [*a*, *b*].

Assume *E* has finite measure and $1 \le p < \infty$. Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions that converge pointwise a.e. on *E* to *f*. For $1 \le p < \infty$, show that $\{f_n\} \to f$ in $L^p(E)$ if there is $\alpha > 0$ such that $\{f_n\}$ belongs to and is bounded as a subset of $L^{p+\alpha}(E)$.

Solution: By the assumption, there exists a real number *M* such that $||f_n||_{p+\alpha} \leq M$ for all *n*. By Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\int_E |f|^{p+\alpha} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_E |f_n|^{p+\alpha} \leq M^{p+\alpha} < \infty.$$

That is $f \in L^{p+\alpha}(E)$. This implies that f and $\{f_n\}$ are in $L^p(E)$. It remains to show that

 $\|f_n-f\|\to 0.$

By Holder's Inequaltiy, we have

$$\int_{A} |f_n - f|^p \le ||f_n - f||_{p+\alpha}^p \cdot m(A)^{\alpha/(p+\alpha)}$$

for any measurable set $A \subseteq E$. By Minkowski's Inequality,

$$||f_n - f||_{p+\alpha} \le ||f_n||_{p+\alpha} + ||f||_{p+\alpha} \le 2 \cdot M.$$

Hence,

$$\int_A |f_n - f|^p \le 2^p \cdot M^p \cdot m(A)^{\alpha/(p+\alpha)}.$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_A |f_n - f|^p < \varepsilon$$

as long as

$$m(A) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^p \cdot M^p}\right)^{(p+\alpha)/\alpha}$$

Therefore $\{|f_n - f|^p\}$ is uniformly integrable over E, which implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_E |f_n-f|^p=0$$

by the Vitali Convergence Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and $\{h_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integrable functions on *X*. Suppose that $\{h_n(x)\} \to 0$ for almost all $x \in X$. Show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X h_n\,d\mu=0$$

if and only if $\{h_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and tight over X.

Solution: If $\{h_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and tight over *X*, then by the Vitaly Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X h_n\,d\mu=0.$$

Conversely, suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X h_n d\mu = 0$. There exists a natural number *N* such that

$$\int_X h_n \, d\mu < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \ge N$. We know that the finite collection of functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is tight over *X*. We therefore can find a set of finite measure $X_0 \in X$ such that

$$\int_{X\sim X_0} |h_n| \, d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

for all n < N. Since

$$\int_{X\sim X_0} |h_n| \, d\mu \leq \int_X |h_n| \, d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

for all $n \ge N$. We conclude that $\{h_n\}$ is tight over X. Note that the finite collection of functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is uniformly integrable. Now Choose $\delta > 0$ such that for $n \ge N$, if $A \subseteq X$ is measurable and $m(A) < \delta$ then

$$\int_A |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Hence we have that

if
$$A \subseteq X$$
 is measurable and $m(A) < \delta$, then $\int_A |h_n| d\mu < \varepsilon$ for all n .

Therefore $\{h_n\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers, and let $\mathcal{M} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and *c* be the counting measure by setting c(E) equal to the number of points in *E* if *E* is finite and ∞ if *E* is infinite. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = (Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{M}, c)$. Define $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2 - 2^{-x} & \text{if } x = y, \\ -2 + 2^{-x} & \text{if } x = y + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that *f* is measurable with respect to the product measure $c \times c$.
- (b) Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m,n) dc(m) \right] dc(n) \neq \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m,n) dc(n) \right] dc(m).$$

(c) Is this a contradiction either of Fubini's theorem or Tonelli's theorem ?

Solution:

- (a) Since \mathbb{N} is countable, it has measure zero. The only set which has $c \times c$ measure zero is the empty set. Hence, $c \times c$ measurable set of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ are all the subsets. Therefore, *f* is measurable.
- (b) We know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f dc = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k).$$

For convenience, let dx = dc(m) and dy = dc(n) Hence,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(x, y) dx \right) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}} f(x, y) \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left[f(y, y) + f(y + 1, y) \right] dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(2 - 2^{-y} - 2 + 2^{-y - 1} \right) dy = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{-1}{2^{y + 1}} dy \\ &= \sum_{y = 1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{2^{y + 1}} = -\frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(x, y) dy \right) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{N}} f(x, y) \right) dx, \quad \text{let } h(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{N}} f(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left[h(x) \right] dx \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} h(x) = h(1) + \sum_{x=2}^{\infty} h(x) = h(1) \\ &= f(1, 1) = 1.5 \end{split}$$

(c) No, because *f* assumes negative values and not integrable.