KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS, DHAHRAN, SAUDI ARABIA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

STAT 510: Regression Analysis

Term 221, Second Major Exam Monday November 21, 2022, 06:00 PM

Name: _____ ID #: _____

Question No	Full Marks	Marks Obtained
1	06	
2	06	
3	02	
4	03	
5	07	
6	06	
Total	30	

Instructions:

- 1. Formula sheet will be provided to you in exam. You are not allowed to bring, with you, formula sheet or any other printed/written paper.
- 2. Mobiles are not allowed in exam. If you have your **mobile** with you, **turn it off** and put it **on the table** so that it is visible to proctor.
- 3. Show all the calculation steps. There are points for the steps so if your miss them, you lose points. For multiple choice type questions, showing calculation steps is not required.
- 4. Derive every result that you use in your solution, unless mentioned otherwise.
- 5. Anything bold in a question indicates that it is a vector or matrix.
- 6. Report your answers up to at least 4 decimal points.

STAT 510

Q1: (6 pts.) Mathematically show that the correlation between the two residuals e_i and e_j can be written entirely in terms of elements of hat matrix $\forall i \neq j$. Note: You can use the following results without deriving: $e = (I - H)\epsilon$

Note: You can use the following results without deriving: $r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE(1-h_{ii})}}, \left[\widehat{\beta} - \widehat{\beta}_{(i)}\right] = \frac{(x'x)^{-1}x_ie_i}{1-h_{ii}}$

5

Q3: (2 pts.) Suppose a scientist has the data on response variable y and predictors X_1 , X_2 and X_3 . She believes that the true relationship between the response variable is intrinsically linear and given as: $\Gamma = (R_1 - R_2)^2$

$$y = \left[\ln\left(\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{X_1} + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_2 X_3\right)\right]$$

Transform the variables such that the relationship becomes linear. The new variables are

<i>y</i> ′	=, X'_1	=,	$X'_2 = $,	X'_3	=
------------	---------	----	-------------	--------	---

STAT 510Regression Analysis6Q4: (3 pts.) An analyst fits model 1: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki} + \epsilon_i$ to a sample of size nand obtains the fitted values $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_4 X_{4i}$ through the method of ordinary least squares (OLS). The residuals of model 1 are defined as $e_i = (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$.

After obtaining the fitted values (\hat{y}_i) from model 1, the analyst fits model 2: $y_i = a + b\hat{y}_i + \varepsilon_i$. In the first exam, we proved that $\hat{a} = 0$, $\hat{b} = 1$ and hence the fitted values of model 2 are equal to the fitted values of model 1 i.e. $\hat{y}_i^* = \hat{y}_i$.

Mathematically show that the coefficient of determination for model 2 is equal to the coefficient of determination for model 1.

Q5: Code _____ Data represent a sample of n = 43 college male measured at ten different heights. There are multiple weight observations at most of the heights, which are measured to the nearest inch. Fit a regression model regression keeping Weight as the response variable and Height as a predictor. Test for the possible lack of fit using F test.

(1 pt.) H0: _____

(1 pt.) H1: _____

(1 pt.) F = _____

(1 pt.) p-value = _____

(1 pt.) Decision: (A)Reject H_0 (B)Accept H_0 (C)Fail to reject H_0 (D)Fail to accept H_0

(2 pt.) Conclusion:

Q6: Code _____ A scientist has the data on response variable *y* and predictors X_1, X_2 and X_3 . He believes that the true relationship between the response variable is $y = \left[\ln\left(\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{X_1} + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_3 X_3\right)\right]^2$. Transform the variables such that the relationship becomes linear. The new variables are

 $y' = e^{\sqrt{y}}, \quad X_1' = \frac{1}{X_1}, \quad X_2' = \ln X_2, \quad X_3' = X_3$

(a) (1 pt.) Fit a linear regression model on the transformed variables. The transformed fitted model is given as:

 $\hat{y}' = ___ + ___X_1' + ___X_2' + ___X_3'$

(b) (3 pts.) Predict the original response y when $x_{10} = 66$, $x_{20} = 7$, $x_{30} = 12$. Also construct a 90% prediction interval for original y when $x_{10} = 66$, $x_{20} = 7$, $x_{30} = 12$.

 $\hat{y}_{\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{x_0}} =$ _____ Critical value = _____

Lower Prediction Limit = _____ Upper Prediction Limit = _____

(c) (2 pts.) Is the prediction done in part (b) interpolation or extrapolation? Justify your answer with a valid procedure.

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{STAT 510}}{S_{XX} = \sum x^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x)^2, \quad S_{YY} = SST = \sum y^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum y)^2, \quad S_{XY} = \sum xy - \frac{1}{n} (\sum y) (\sum x)}{\beta_1 = \frac{5xy}{s_{XX}}, \quad \beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}, \quad r = \frac{5xy}{\sqrt{s_{XX}}s_{YY}}, \quad SS_R = \beta_1 S_{XY}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-2}, \quad R^2 = \frac{SSR}{s_{ST}}, \\ \beta_0 \sim N \left(\beta_0, \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{x^2}{s_{xx}} \right] \right), \quad \beta_1 \sim N \left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{s_{xx}} \right), \quad \hat{\mu}_{y|x=x_0} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}n-k-1} \sqrt{MSE \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0-\bar{x})^2}{s_{xx}} \right)} \right) \\ \hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y, \quad SST = y'y - \frac{(\sum y_1)^2}{n}, \quad SSE = y'y - \hat{\beta}'X'y, \quad V - Cov(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} \\ V - Cov(e) = \sigma^2(I-H), \quad MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k-1}, \quad R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{\frac{SSE}{(n-k-1)}}{\frac{SSE}{(n-1)}}, \quad VIF_j = \frac{1}{1-R_j^2}, \\ T_{n-k-1} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{I} - \beta_{I0}}{s.e.(\hat{\beta}_{I})}, \quad F = \frac{\frac{SSR}{x}}{\frac{SSE}{(n-k-1)}}, \quad F = \frac{(T\hat{\rho} - c)'[T(X'X)^{-1}T']^{-1}(T\hat{\rho} - c)/_{r}}{\frac{SSE(FM)}{(n-k-1)}}, \quad H = X(X'X)^{-1}X' \\ \beta_{y|x=x_0} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-k-1} \sqrt{MSE(x_0(X'X)^{-1}x_0)}, \quad \hat{y}_0 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-k-1} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)} \\ w_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - s_{j}}{\sqrt{s_{yy}}}, \quad y_l^0 = \frac{y_{l-\bar{y}}}{\sqrt{s_{yy}}}, \quad s_{j} = \sum_{l=1}^n (x_{lj} - \bar{x}_{l})^2, \quad s_{yy} = \sum_{l=1}^n (y_l - \bar{y})^2 \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n \text{ and } j = 1, 2, ..., k \\ d_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE}}, \quad r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE(1 - h_{il})}}, \quad t_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{s_{ij}^2(1 - h_{il})}} \text{ where } S^2_{l0} = \frac{(n-k-1)MSE - \frac{e_i^2}{(n-k-1)}}{n-k-2} \\ \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{lj} - \hat{y}_l)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{lj} - \bar{y}_l)^2 + \sum_{l=1}^m n_l (\bar{y}_l - \hat{y}_l)^2, \quad F = \frac{\frac{SSLop}{(n-2)}}{\frac{SSLop}{(n-m)}} \\ y^{(\lambda)} = \left(\frac{y^{\lambda-1}}{4y^{\lambda-1}}, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \quad SS^* = SSE_{(\bar{\lambda})} \left(e^{\binom{\lambda^2}{n} - 1} \right), \quad \hat{\alpha}_1 = \hat{\alpha}_0 + \frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{\beta}_1}, \\ \hat{\beta}_{WLS} = (X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'y_1, \quad X_1 = \sqrt{w}X, \quad y_1 = \sqrt{w}y, \quad |e_l| = a + b\hat{y}_l + \varepsilon_l, \quad w_l = 1/(|\widehat{e}_l|)^2 \end{array}$$

Measure of influence	Critical value(s)	
$D_i = \frac{\left(\widehat{\beta}_{(i)} - \widehat{\beta}\right)' X' X(\widehat{\beta}_{(i)} - \widehat{\beta})}{MSE(k+1)} = \left(\frac{r_i^2}{k+1}\right) \frac{h_{ii}}{(1 - h_{ii})}$	1	
$DFBETAS_{j,i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \hat{\beta}_{j(i)}}{\sqrt{S_{(i)}^2 \times C_{jj}}} = \frac{r_{j,i}}{\sqrt{r'_j r_j}} \frac{t_i}{\sqrt{1 - h_{ii}}}$ where r'_j is the j^{th} row of $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$	$2/\sqrt{n}$	
$DFFITS_{i} = \frac{\hat{y}_{i} - \hat{y}_{(i)}}{\sqrt{S_{(i)}^{2} \times h_{ii}}} = t_{i} \sqrt{\frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}}}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{k+1}{n}}$	
$COVRATIO_{i} = \frac{\left \left(X_{(i)}'X_{(i)} \right)^{-1} S_{(i)}^{2} \right }{ (X'X)^{-1}MSE } = \left(\frac{S_{(i)}^{2}}{MSE} \right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}} \right)$	$1 \pm 3\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)$	