## **KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS, DHAHRAN, SAUDI ARABIA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

## **STAT 510: Regression Analysis**

Term 221, Second Major Exam Monday November 21, 2022, 06:00 PM

Name: \_ ID #: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_



## **Instructions:**

- 1. Formula sheet will be provided to you in exam. You are not allowed to bring, with you, formula sheet or any other printed/written paper.
- 2. Mobiles are not allowed in exam. If you have your **mobile** with you, **turn it off** and put it **on the table** so that it is visible to proctor.
- 3. Show all the calculation steps. There are points for the steps so if your miss them, you lose points. For multiple choice type questions, showing calculation steps is not required.
- 4. Derive every result that you use in your solution, unless mentioned otherwise.
- 5. Anything bold in a question indicates that it is a vector or matrix.
- 6. Report your answers up to at least 4 decimal points.

Answer Q1 – Q4 using the multiple linear regression model  $y = X\beta + \epsilon$  where the OLS estimates are given as  $\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$  and  $H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ .

Q1: (6 pts.) Mathematically show that the correlation between the two residuals  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  can be written entirely in terms of elements of hat matrix  $\forall i \neq j$ . Note: You can use the following results without deriving:  $e = (I - H)\epsilon$ 

Note: You can use the following results without deriving:  $r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE(T)}}$  $\frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE(1-h_{ii})}}, \left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)}\right] = \frac{(X'X)^{-1}x_ie_i}{1-h_{ii}}$  $1-h_{ii}$ 

Q3: (2 pts.) Suppose a scientist has the data on response variable y and predictors  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ . She believes that the true relationship between the response variable is intrinsically linear and given as:

$$
y = \left[\ln\left(\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{X_1} + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_2 X_3\right)\right]^2
$$

Transform the variables such that the relationship becomes linear. The new variables are

Q4: (3 pts.) An analyst fits model 1:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \cdots + \beta_k X_{ki} + \epsilon_i$  to a sample of size n and obtains the fitted values  $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i} + ... + \hat{\beta}_4 X_{4i}$  through the method of ordinary least squares (OLS). The residuals of model 1 are defined as  $e_i = (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$ .

After obtaining the fitted values  $(\hat{y}_i)$  from model 1, the analyst fits model 2:  $y_i = a + b\hat{y}_i + \varepsilon_i$ . In the first exam, we proved that  $\hat{a} = 0$ ,  $\hat{b} = 1$  and hence the fitted values of model 2 are equal to the fitted values of model 1 i.e.  $\hat{y}_i^* = \hat{y}_i$ .

Mathematically show that the coefficient of determination for model 2 is equal to the coefficient of determination for model 1.

 $Q5: Code$  Data represent a sample of  $n = 43$  college male measured at ten different heights. There are multiple weight observations at most of the heights, which are measured to the nearest inch. Fit a regression model regression keeping Weight as the response variable and Height as a predictor. Test for the possible lack of fit using F test.

 $(1 \text{ pt.}) \text{ H0:}$ 

 $(1 \text{ pt.}) \text{ H1}:$ 

(1 pt.)  $F =$  (1 pt.) p-value =

(1 pt.) Decision:  $(B)$ Accept  $H_0$ (A)Reject  $H_0$ (C)Fail to reject  $H_0$ (D)Fail to accept  $H_0$ 

(2 pt.) Conclusion:

Q6: Code \_\_\_\_\_\_ A scientist has the data on response variable y and predictors  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ . He believes that the true relationship between the response variable is  $y = \left[\ln\left(\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{x}\right)\right]$  $\frac{\beta_1}{X_1} + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_3 X_3$ )]<sup>2</sup>. Transform the variables such that the relationship becomes linear. The new variables are

 $y' = e^{\sqrt{y}}, \quad X'_1 = \frac{1}{x}$  $\frac{1}{X_1}$ ,  $X'_2 = \ln X_2$ ,  $X'_3 = X_3$ 

(a) (1 pt.) Fit a linear regression model on the transformed variables. The transformed fitted model is given as:

̂ ′ = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ + \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_<sup>1</sup> ′ + \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_<sup>2</sup> ′ + \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_<sup>3</sup> ′

(b) (3 pts.) Predict the original response y when  $x_{10} = 66$ ,  $x_{20} = 7$ ,  $x_{30} = 12$ . Also construct a 90% prediction interval for original y when  $x_{10} = 66$ ,  $x_{20} = 7$ ,  $x_{30} = 12$ .

̂= =\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Critical value = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Lower Prediction Limit  $=$  Upper Prediction Limit  $=$ 

(c) (2 pts.) Is the prediction done in part (b) interpolation or extrapolation? Justify your answer with a valid procedure.

$$
\frac{\text{STAT 510}}{S_{XX}} = \sum x^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x)^2, \quad S_{YY} = SST = \sum y^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum y)^2, \quad S_{XY} = \sum xy - \frac{1}{n} (\sum y) (\sum x)^2}{\beta_1 = \frac{S_{XX}}{S_{XX}}, \quad \beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}, \quad r = \frac{S_{XX}}{S_{XX}S_{YY}}, \quad S_{R} = \beta_1 S_{XY}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = MSE = \frac{S_{SS}}{S_{TX}}, \quad R^2 = \frac{S_{SS}}{S_{SY}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N \left( \beta_0, \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{x^2}{S_{XX}} \right] \right), \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \sim N \left( \beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{XX}} \right), \quad \hat{\mu}_{y|x=x_0} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}n-k-1} \sqrt{MSE \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{XX}} \right)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y, \quad SST = y'y - \frac{(Sy_1)^2}{n}, \quad SSE = y'y - \hat{\beta}'X'y, \quad V - Cov(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
V - Cov(e) = \sigma^2 (I - H), \quad MSE = \frac{S_{SS}}{n-k-1}, \quad R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{S_{SK}/(n-k-1)}{SST/(n-1)}, \quad VIF_j = \frac{1}{1-R^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{n-k-1} = \frac{\beta_j - \beta_j}{s_{x\theta(j)}}, \quad F = \frac{S_{SS}/k}{SSF(N-k-1)}, \quad F = \frac{(\bar{T}\bar{\beta} - c)^2 \left[ \bar{Y}(X'X)^{-1} \bar{T}' \right]^{-1} (\bar{T}\bar{\beta} - c)}{SSF(N-1)(n-k-1)}, \quad H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{\mu}_{y|x=x_0} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}n-k-1} \sqrt{MSE(x_0(X'X)^{-1}x_0}), \quad \hat{y}_0 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}n-k-1} \sqrt
$$

