KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

STAT 513: Statistical Modeling

Term 231, Major Exam I Monday October 09, 2023, 05:45 PM

Name: _____ ID #: _____

Question No	Full Marks	Marks Obtained
1	38	
2	18	
3	24	
Total	80	

Instructions:

- 1. Mobiles are not allowed in the exam. If you have your **mobile** with you, **turn it off** and put it **on the table/floor** so that it is visible to the proctor.
- 2. Show all the calculation steps. There are points for the steps so if you miss them, you lose points. For multiple choice type questions, showing calculation steps is not required.
- 3. Report at least 4 decimal points of your numerical answers.

(i) Which one of the following diagrams would be useful in depicting the median value in the data?

- (A) Pie Chart
- (B) Bar Chart
- (C) Scatter Plot
- (D) Box Plot
- (E) Stem and Leaf Diagram

(ii) Which one of the following diagrams would be useful in visually examining the relationship between two quantitative variables?

- (A) Scatter Plot
- (B) Box Plot
- (C) Pie Chart
- (D) Bar Chart
- (E) Stem and Leaf Diagram

(iii) Consider the simple linear regression model fit to the solar energy data. The plot of residuals vs fitted response is given as follows:



(E) The assumptions of normality and linearity are violated.

(iv) Wilcoxon signed rank test is used for testing hypothesis related to

- (A) Population variance
- (B) Population median
- (C) Population standard deviation
- (D) Population percentiles
- (E) None of the others

(v) Which one of the following statements is true?

- (A) Z test is used for testing hypothesis about the population variance.
- (B) T test is used for testing hypothesis about the population variance.
- (C) T test is more flexible than the Z test.
- (D) T test cannot be applied to a sample of size more than 30
- (E) Z test cannot be applied to a sample of size more than 30

(vi) Which one of the following is **not** a linear regression model?

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(A)} \ y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 e^{X_{3i}} + \epsilon_i \\ \text{(B)} \ y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i}^3 + \beta_3 X_{3i}^2 + \epsilon_i \\ \text{(C)} \ y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 \ln X_{3i} + \epsilon_i \\ \text{(D)} \ y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + e^{\beta_3 X_{3i}} + \epsilon_i \\ \text{(E)} \ y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_{12} X_{1i} X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \epsilon_i \end{array}$

(vii) Which one of the following is true about the prediction interval computed from a multiple linear regression model?

- (A) The width of prediction interval increases with an increase in significance level α .
- (B) It is wider than the confidence interval for mean response.
- (C) The predicted value can fall outside the prediction interval.
- (D) A prediction interval cannot be computed when there is only one predictor in the model.
- (E) The actual value of response always falls inside the prediction interval.

(viii) Which one of the following is true for the estimated regression equation $\hat{y} = 2.3 - 1.67X_1 + 0.33X_2 + 1.92X_3$?

- (A) Due to a unit increase in X_1 , y increases on average by 1.67 units, keeping $X_2 \& X_3$ fixed.
- (B) Due to a unit increase in X_2 , y decreases on average by 0.33 units, keeping $X_1 \& X_3$ fixed.
- (C) Due to a unit increase in X_3 , y decreases on average by 1.92 units, keeping $X_1 \& X_2$ fixed.
- (D) Due to a unit increase in X_1 , y decreases on average by 1.67 units, keeping $X_2 \& X_3$ fixed.
- (E) None of the others



(E) The model is not good because QQ plot shows that the residuals are not normally distributed.

(x) If the correlation coefficient between the two variables X and y is close to +1, what does that mean?

- (A) X is causing the change in y.
- (B) y is causing the change in X.
- (C) When *X* increases *y* also increases, and vice versa.
- (D) When *X* increases *y* decreases, and vice versa.
- (E) *X* and *y* both are causing the change in each other.

(xi) In regression analysis, the difference between actual value of response variable and fitted value is called

- (A) independent variable
- (B) variance inflation factor
- (C) analysis of variance
- (D) residual
- (E) outlier

(xii) Which one of the following is **not** true for regression analysis?

- (A) SSE ≤ 0
- (B) $SSR \ge 0$
- (C) $SSR \leq SST$
- (D) SSE \leq SST
- (E) SST ≥ 0

(xiii) In a linear regression model, we performed a Breusch-Pagan test and found the test statistic BP = 8.46 with the p-value = 0.21. What do we conclude from this output assuming $\alpha = 0.05$?

- (A) None of the predictors is significant.
- (B) The equal variance assumption has not failed.
- (C) The normality assumption has failed.
- (D) The linearity assumption has not failed.
- (E) None of the others.

(xiv) In simple linear regression, least square method calculates the best-fitting line for the observed data by minimizing the sum of the

- (A) squares of the observed response
- (B) squares of the fitted values
- (C) difference between observed and predicted response
- (D) absolute of the fitted values
- (E) None of the others

(xv) For testing the significance of a predictor X_1 in simple linear regression, we can define Z-test based

on $Z = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/S_{XX}}}$. Why this test is impractical for regression analysis?

- (A) S_{XX} is never known.
- (B) σ^2 is never known.
- (C) $\hat{\beta}_1$ is never known.
- (D) Normal distribution PDF cannot be integrated.
- (E) CDF of Normal distribution is not available in closed form.

(xvi) In a linear regression model, we performed Lilliefors test and found the test statistic D = 0.19 with the p-value = 0.035. What do we conclude from this output assuming $\alpha = 0.05$?

- (A) All predictors are insignificant.
- (B) The linearity assumption has failed.
- (C) The normality assumption has failed.
- (D) The equal variance assumption has failed.
- (E) None of the others.

(xvii) What is the difference between mathematical and statistical relationships?

- (A) The error term.
- (B) Nothing, they are both the same.
- (C) Statistical relationships are exact while mathematical are approximate.
- (D) The intercept.
- (E) The slope.

(xviii) In multiple linear regression analysis, a partial F test is used for

- (A) Testing the normality assumption.
- (B) Testing the independence assumption.
- (C) Testing the assumption of equal variance.
- (D) Testing the significance of some predictors.
- (E) None of the others.

(xix) Fit a multiple linear regression model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + \epsilon_i$ and test the following constraints: $H_0: \frac{\beta_3}{10} - 2\beta_2 = -0.5$ against $H_1: \frac{\beta_3}{10} - 2\beta_2 \neq -0.5$. Write down the **T** matrix and **c** vector for testing the above hypotheses.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & &$$

```
STAT 513
```

Q2: (3+5+5+3+2 = 18 pts.) Data on the thrust of a jet turbine engine and four predictors are available with n = 32. Several models are applied to the given dataset and the resulting R outputs are given below:

```
model1: y = B_0 + B_2 X_2 + \varepsilon
Call:
lm(formula = y ~ x2, data = jet_turbine_engine)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Мах
-172.91 -95.74 -35.49
                          51.55 489.55
Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -27070.92141
                           2051.38282 -13.20 5.01e-14 ***
                              0.06937 15.08 1.53e-15 ***
x2
                1.04584
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 175.6 on 30 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8834,
                              Adjusted R-squared: 0.8795
F-statistic: 227.3 on 1 and 30 DF, p-value: 1.533e-15
> nortest::ad.test(x=rstandard(model1))
        Anderson-Darling test
data: rstandard(model1)
A = 2.1329, p-value = 0.00001502
> lmtest::bptest(model1)
        studentized Breusch-Pagan test
data: model1
BP = 0.0046336, df = 1, p-value = 0.9457
> car::durbinWatsonTest(model1)
 lag Autocorrelation D-W Statistic p-value
   1
          -0.1901218
                          2.346469
                                     0.324
 Alternative hypothesis: rho != 0
```

STAT 513

model2: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$ Call: $lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2 + x3 + x4, data = jet_turbine_engine)$ Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Мах -63.595 -18.056 4.516 17.017 44.965 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)(Intercept) -3900.2496 2651.1738 -1.471 0.1528 0.1634 8.906 0.000000016 *** x1 1.4549 x2 0.1882 0.1196 1.574 0.1272 0.4219 1.814 х3 0.7653 0.0808 . 2.7906 -6.123 0.0000015324 *** -17.0861 x4 ___ Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 29.48 on 27 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.997, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9966 F-statistic: 2276 on 4 and 27 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 > anova(model1.model2) Analysis of Variance Table Model 1: y ~ x2 Model 2: $y \sim x1 + x2 + x3 + x4$ Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F) 1 30 925016 27 23460 3 901556 345.86 < 2.2e-16 *** 2 ___ Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Report at least 4 decimal points of your numerical answers.

(i) It can be seen from the above outputs that predictor **x2** was significant in model1, but it became insignificant in model2. What is/are the possible reason(s)? [3 pts.]

(ii) For model1, if we are interested in testing the assumption of homoscedasticity (equal variance), then fill the following blanks: [5 pts.]

H ₀ :	
H ₁ :	
p-value of the test =	
Assuming $lpha = 0.05$, we reject H ₀ if	_
Conclusion	

(iii) With reference to model2, if we are interested in testing the significance of x3, then fill the following blanks: [5 pts.]

Н	lo:	
Н	1:	-
T	est statistic =	
p	-value of the test =	
C	onclusion	
• •	e presence of x2 , are x1 , x3 and x4 contributing significantly? i.e. $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$ against H ₁ : At least one $\beta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 3$ or 4.	[3 pts.]
$H_0: \beta_1 =$		[3 pts.]
$H_0: \beta_1 =$	$\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$ against H ₁ : At least one $\beta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 3$ or 4.	[3 pts.]

(v) What percent of the variation in thrust of a jet turbine engine is explained by the four predictors?

[2 pts.]

10

11

Report at least 4 decimal points of your numerical answers.

 $\hat{y} =$ _____

Q3: (2+3+3+5+2+3+3 = 24 pts.) Data on the thrust of a jet turbine engine and four predictors are available with n = 32. Fit a multiple linear regression model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$.

(i) The fitted regression equation is

[2 pts.]

(ii) Predict the thrust of a jet turbine engine when $x_1 =$	2080, $x_2 = 30200$, $x_3 = 1710$ and $x_4 =$
105.	[3 pts.]

The predicted value is equal to ______.

(iii) A 99% prediction interval for the thrust of a jet turbine engine when $x_1 = 2080$, $x_2 = 30200$, $x_3 = 1710$ and $x_4 = 105$ is given as: [3 pts.]

[______]

(iv) Is the prediction done in part (ii) interpolation or extrapolation? Provide all the details of your solution before writing the final answer. [5 pts.]

(v) Construct a 99% confidence interval estimate for β_3 i.e. the average change in y due to a unit change in X_3 , holding the other predictors. [2 pts.]

[______]

STAT 513Statistical Modeling12(vi) Test the following constraints: $H_0: \frac{\beta_3}{10} - 2\beta_2 = -0.5$ against $H_1: \frac{\beta_3}{10} - 2\beta_2 \neq -0.5$. The T matrix and *c* vector for testing the above hypotheses is given as: [3 pts.]

 $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 & 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $c = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$

For testing the above hypothesis, the p-value is given by .

(vii) For testing the normality assumption, perform the Lilliefors test on studentized residuals (ri) and report your findings. [3 pts.]

p-value = _____

Conclusion:

(viii) For testing the equal variance assumption, perform the Breusch-Pagan test and report your findings. [3 pts.]

p-value = _____

Conclusion: